

SCHOOL OF ENVIRONMENT AND NATURAL RESOURCES

2022 Campus Sustainability Survey: Results and Trends

A Report from the Environmental and Social Sustainability Lab (2023)



THE OHIO STATE UNIVERSITY

COLLEGE OF FOOD, AGRICULTURAL,
AND ENVIRONMENTAL SCIENCES

In Collaboration with the
Ohio State University
Sustainability Institute

About the Environmental and Social Sustainability Lab

The Environmental and Social Sustainability (ESS) Lab is a collaborative community of scholars working to build scientific understanding of environmental and social sustainability in an interdisciplinary context. Housed within the School of Environmental and Natural Resources within The College of Food, Agriculture, and Environmental Sciences, we are staffed by a core group of affiliated faculty members, students, and research staff representing a broad range of social science expertise. Our mission is to support a viable socio-ecological future through applied social science research, and to serve as a hub of sustainability research at Ohio State.

Contact Information:

Dr. Nicole Sintov, ESS Lab Faculty Director

Ellen Eilers, Lab Manager 2022

2021 Coffey Rd Columbus, OH 43210
School of Environment and Natural Resources
The Ohio State University
ESSL@osu.edu

Suggested Citation

Eilers, E. and Sintov, N. 2023. *2022 Campus Sustainability Survey: Results and Trends. A Report by the Environmental and Social Sustainability Lab*. The Ohio State University, School of Environment and Natural Resources.

Cover photo credit: The Ohio State University.
<https://universitymarketing.osu.edu/photo-gallery/>



Contents

Executive Summary 1

Methodology and Design 4

Sample Characteristics 5

Section 1: Sustainable Behaviors 7

Section 2: Attitudes Towards Campus
Initiatives 11

Section 3: Sustainability Curriculum
Development 17

Section 4: Sustainability Knowledge
Assessment 23

Section 5: Next Steps and Acknowledgements
..... 26

References 27



OHIO STATE SUSTAINABILITY GOALS

Strategic Vision

Ohio State is a recognized leader in developing durable solutions to the pressing challenges of sustainability and in evolving a culture of sustainability through collaborative teaching, pioneering research, comprehensive outreach, and innovative operations, practices, and policies.

As progress is made toward realizing institutional sustainability aspirations, four overarching, foundational principles of the university must take hold to ensure that accountability and a culture of sustainability becomes pervasive throughout Ohio State's culture, practices and programs:

- Ensure a transformational approach by establishing a generational timeline to consider the impacts and trade-offs of decisions and economic, environmental, and social outcomes over many years and decades, instead of only the perspective of short-term economic returns.
- Utilize a council of internal and external stakeholders (i.e., students, staff, faculty, alumni/ae, companies, non-governmental organizations, agencies) to serve in an advisory capacity for the ongoing formulation, development, implementation, and assessment of goals, initiatives, and outcomes.
- Conduct research on our progress by developing and/or adapting research methodology to review and assess operational goals, and evaluate and publish the results with the aim of developing best practices and innovation for sustainability measurement.
- Incorporate relevant elements of sustainability into all college and support units' strategic plans, physical plans, and other university guiding documents.

Teaching and Learning

1. Deliver a Curriculum that provides Ohio State students at all stages of instruction – from General Education to professional and technical programs – with opportunities to understand sustainability holistically, framed by the environment, science, technology, society, the economy, history, culture, and politics.
2. Address the Complexities of Sustainability through a variety of learning formats, strategies, and occasions.

Research and Innovation

3. Reward Sustainability Scholarship, including the scholarship of engagement, by providing incentives for students, faculty and staff to make discoveries and stimulate creative efforts that promote and achieve sustainability.
4. Magnify Sustainability Scholarly Output and Impact to create new knowledge, solve real world problems, including for our own operations, and increase Ohio State's national/international reputation as a sustainability research leader.

Outreach and Engagement

5. Foster Campus-to-Community, Students-to-Alumni Culture of sustainability-oriented practices and educational and research experiences that students and alumni transfer into local and global communities.
6. Catalyze Engagement, Ownership, and Buy-In to Sustainability via engaged and inclusive partnerships, on and off campus, that support the long-term economic, social and environmental welfare of the campus, surrounding neighborhoods and the global community.

Resource Stewardship

7. Implement specific, "world-leading" university-wide operational goals to reduce resource consumption, neutralize carbon emissions and minimize waste, including:
 - a. Achieve carbon neutrality by 2050 per Presidents' Climate Leadership Commitment;
 - b. Increase the energy efficiency of the university per building square foot by 25% by 2025;
 - c. Reduce potable water consumption by 10% per capita every five years, resetting baseline every five years;
 - d. Increase Ecosystem Services Index score to 85% by 2025;
 - e. Reduce carbon footprint of university fleet per thousand miles traveled by 25% by 2025;
 - f. Achieve zero waste by 2025 by diverting 90% of waste away from landfills;
 - g. Increase production and purchase of locally and sustainably sourced food to 40% by 2025; and
 - h. Develop university-wide standards for targeted environmentally preferred products and fully implement preferable products and services by 2025.



2/2/2021

Executive Summary

The Ohio State Campus Sustainability Survey represents a joint effort on the parts of numerous campus partners to measure current and longitudinal trends in undergraduate behaviors, beliefs, values, attitudes, and knowledge regarding sustainability at The Ohio State University. This report explores the results of that effort in 2022, through online survey responses from 2,403 Undergraduate students from the Columbus campus (out of 23,025 randomly selected students originally contacted; a response rate of approximately 10.4%). Please see the following sections for more details on our survey methods and student sample, as well as survey results in each of five major areas.

Engagement in sustainable behaviors:

In total, undergraduate student engagement in sustainability-related behaviors such as carrying a reusable water bottle, turning off the lights in an empty room, and printing on both sides of the paper remain quite high, suggesting progress towards OSU Sustainability goal #5. **Likewise, almost half (45%) of students report often or always sorting out their recycling suggesting progress toward OSU Sustainability goal #7f.** However, opportunities to further promote campus sustainability goals continue to present themselves in emphasizing behaviors that are low cost, but that many students have not yet adopted, such as limiting purchases of new items and shifting purchases to second hand wherever possible, which could also inform OSU Sustainability goals #5 and #7f (For more on these results, please see Section 1).

Recommendation: Our results suggest a gap that might be reduced by highlighting the 4 R's together: **Refuse, Reduce, Reuse, Recycle**. This idea is partially supported by the increasing amount of students answering the question regarding reducing consumption of all products correctly (please see Section 4 for more detail). By pairing these impactful behaviors in a familiar way with a high priority action, this simple messaging could improve sustainable behavior both on and off campus, in support of OSU Sustainability goals #5 and #7f.

Sustainability knowledge:

Student knowledge of sustainability-related topics (e.g. sustainable economics, social sustainability) as assessed through quiz-type questions remains higher than that assessed in 2014. However, some misconceptions remain on topics regarding the

causes of pollution and environmental degradation (please see Section 4 for detail). One major misconception worsened among students in relation to causes of pollution. In 2022, 37.8% of students incorrectly answered that waste dumped by factories is the main cause of pollution of streams and rivers (in 2018 the percent answering incorrectly was 24.1%), indicating that the number of students choosing the incorrect answer is increasing over time. Notably, less than half of student respondents identified the correct answer—surface water runoff from yards, city streets, paved lots, and farm fields, which could decrease support for non-point source solutions such as green infrastructure and soil and water conservation in agriculture.

One interesting trend in the data is that, when students incorrectly identify the causes of various environmental impacts, their misconceptions are at least consistent. Specifically, over the years, there has been little to no variation in the most commonly identified incorrect responses.

Recommendation: As noted above, although student knowledge of sustainability-related topics has generally improved, one area for attention pertains to causes of pollution. The Franklin Soil and Water Conservation District points out a [number of behaviors](#) that impact water quality and can be implemented by students, staff, and faculty to improve water quality in central Ohio, such as fix leaking automobiles and refraining from dumping waste into storm drains. However, the motivation to engage in such behaviors may hinge on understanding that these behaviors can actually improve environmental quality. Communication around these behaviors, and the sources of pollution that they address, could improve campus to community linkages (OSU Sustainability goal #5) and provide an opportunity to understand the complexities of sustainability across the campus community (OSU Sustainability goal #2).

Additionally, the trend in consistent incorrect responses **posits a unique opportunity to examine the knowledge deficit as a barrier to sustainability perceptions**, and to build educational campaigns aimed to inform students about the accurate factors impacting the environment. This could help to work toward OSU Sustainability goal #5.

Student awareness and support for campus initiatives:

The 2022 survey contained several items developed in collaboration with the Sustainability Institute, the Office of Student Life, and OSU Facilities, Operations and Development. Together, these items provide insights about student prioritization of sustainability initiatives on campus. Additionally, we provide data related to sustainability curriculum development with the Sustainability Education and Learning Committee (see OSU Sustainability goal #1).

Composting Program: Among respondents, 86.3% indicated that they agreed or strongly agreed that composting food scraps is good for the environment and worth the

effort. **However 66.2% of students were not aware that students living on and off campus can sign-up to participate in composting and receive a free bin.** In other words, although students believe that composting is good for the environment, they lack the awareness of the availability to do so on campus.

Recommendation: One way to address the discrepancy between students belief and awareness about composting is to improve communications to students about the accessibility of the composting programs on campus. This strategy would facilitate progress toward OSU Sustainability goal #7f.

Sustainability Curriculum: Most respondents indicated that they had taken no classes related to sustainability or the environment at Ohio State (64.3%). Though students generally disagreed with the statement, “I actively seek sustainability-related courses when enrolling in classes,” the average for this item has generally trended upward since 2018. **This means that although students don’t take these courses, they are increasingly seeking opportunities to do so,** especially among courses related to climate change, clean & renewable energy, and health & well-being.

Recommendation: Course syllabi tied to these three themes (climate change, clean & renewable energy, and health & well-being) and building on preferred sustainability-related skills (global literacy and environmental justice) may see greater popularity among students and improve strides toward OSU Sustainability goal #1. See Section 3 for further detail and additional findings.

Future plans:

The ESS lab plans to continue using an annual survey and a panel of undergraduate students to measure changes in sustainability knowledge and values, as well as engagement in sustainable behaviors. Such data is intended to help broadly inform and assess sustainability efforts taking place at Ohio State.


Methodology and Design

The 2022 Campus Sustainability Survey was organized and administered by members of the Environmental and Social Sustainability (ESS) Lab in the School of Environment and Natural Resources, in collaboration with the Ohio State Sustainability Institute and Facilities Operations and Development.

Survey Design:

Items were based on established scales where possible and were designed to capture a full suite of sustainability-related constructs including values, attitudes, beliefs, knowledge, and behaviors. Figure 1 below provides a summary of this approach and the types of scales used. The figure takes the shape of an inverted pyramid to represent the idea that behaviors at the top of the pyramid are many and varied, while values at the bottom are few in number and foundational. If not otherwise noted, items were self-generated with input from ESS Faculty members and/or our campus partners. (For the citations noted in Figure 1 please see the “References” section at the end of the report).

Figure 1. Cognitive hierarchy



Overall, there were three types of survey items developed:

- 1) **Longitudinal items** (i.e. annually recurring): These items are intended to track changes in sustainability behaviors (adapted from Brick et.al. 2017), sustainability knowledge (“ASK” scale, Zwickle and Jones 2018), and sustainability attitudes (“SAS” scale, Zwickle and Jones 2018) over time. Some of these items can be compared to survey results from 2010 - 2014.
- 2) **One-time items**: These items address topics that are of interest to our campus partners, such as support for current and future sustainability initiatives and development of a sustainability curriculum. Some of these items are kept year-to-year, per discussions with campus partners.

Survey Implementation:

In order to maintain a panel and assess how individuals have changed over time, our sampling frame for 2022 included all students that responded to the 2021 survey and were still enrolled at OSU in 2022 (N = 2,525). As was done in 2018 - 2021, we added 5000 new contacts to the sample from each rank with an oversample of 500 in first year students. In October of 2022, survey invitations were emailed to the full sample of 23,025 non-transfer undergraduate students from OSU’s Columbus campus via Qualtrics. In addition to an invitation, after one week, participants were emailed a reminder, and one week later they received a third and final reminder.

Table 1. Sample sizes by rank and response N

Rank	Respondents from 2021	New contacts 2022	Overall Respondents 2022 ¹	Recontacted Respondents 2022 ¹
First year	919	5,500	501	5
Second year	645	5,000	579	118
Third year	505	5,000	546	186
Fourth year	456	5,000	716	270
Total	2,525	20,500	2,342	594


¹There was no data for 61 overall respondents and 15 recontacted respondents.

Of the 23,025 students contacted, 3,203 started the survey and 2,182 completed it (12% completion rate). Students were shown all questions, except the questions provided by the [Sustainability Institute](#) and [Facilities Operations and Development](#), where the sample was split in half, and each group received their respective set of questions. This was done to reduce the overall survey duration for a given participant. The final sample size used for this report (i.e. students who began the survey and answered at least one behavior question and passed both attention checks) was 2,403 students, for a final response rate of 10.4%. The median completion time for the survey was 13 minutes.

Sample Characteristics

Our sample consisted of 2,403 undergraduate students who began the survey. Where appropriate comparisons may be made, we provide the [15th Day Enrollment](#) numbers for Autumn 2022 (AU22). Respondents were more female than male (71.0% female; AU22: 64.4% female), with an average age of 19 years old (AU22: 19.4). By design, participants were skewed towards first-year students at Ohio State: 501 (21.4%; AU21: 24.6%) were first-year freshmen, 579 (24.7%; AU21: 25.3%) were second years, 546 (23.3%; AU21: 21.0%) were third-years, and 716 (30.6%; AU21: 29.1%) were in their fourth year since first enrolling. Additionally, the **average** (non-zero) GPA of our participants was a 3.53 (SD = 0.50).

Figure 2. Distribution of respondent age and year of first enrollment.



In terms of race and ethnicity, the majority of students in our sample identified as white (67.8%; AU22: 61.1%) with a minority of students identifying themselves as Asian (10.3%; AU22: 8.6%), Hispanic (5.2%; AU22: 5.6%), Black/African American (4.1%; AU22: 7.5%), Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander (NA%, AU22: 0%), American Indian/Alaska Native (0.1%; AU22: 0%) or two or more races (4.5%; AU22: 4.2%). In addition, 3.8% of our sample were international students studying at Ohio State (AU22: 9.6%).

Students reported that, on average, 43.0% of their living expenses came from personal earnings or savings (with a standard deviation of 32.5%), meaning the average student in our sample had roughly 57% of their living expenses financed by others.

In terms of where students grew up and their political affiliations, the majority of our sample report growing up in a suburban setting (63.8%), 11.6% in an urban setting, 11.1% in a small town, and 13.5% in a rural or agricultural environment. In addition, 52.3% described themselves as Democrats, 10.4% as Republicans, 29.2% as Independents, 3.9% as Libertarians, and 4.2% as other political affiliations.

Lastly, in terms of academic programs and exposure to sustainability coursework, students most commonly reported that they had taken no classes related to sustainability or the environment at Ohio State (64.3%), while 27.4% reported taking just one or two classes; only 4.9% of our sample had taken three or more such classes (see Figure 11 in Section 5. Please see Table 2 for a breakdown of programs of study (in major categories).

We do not associate these demographic variables with values, knowledge, or behavior in this report, and it remains an open opportunity for interested undergraduate or graduate students to ask questions and conduct analyses. We welcome and encourage student inquiries, which can be sent to essl@osu.edu.

Table 2. Response by program of study

Program	Percent of respondents	Percent Enrollment AU22
Arts and Sciences	39.6	36.3
Engineering	16.0	16.5
Business	12.3	16.8
Education and Human Ecology	4.1	6.3
Exploration Program (no declared major)	3.1	4.0
Health and Rehabilitation Sciences	4.8	4.7
Agriculture	3.3	2.7
Environment and Natural Resources	5.0	1.8
Nursing, Dental, and Medical	1.8	2.6
Pharmacy	1.3	0.9
Public Health	1.1	0.6
Architecture	1.7	1.3
John Glenn Public Affairs	1.0	0.6
Social Work	0.8	0.8
Total N	2,403	47,106

Section 1: Sustainable Behaviors

Replicating the survey from 2021, we again used the first section of the 2022 survey to ask students about their engagement in 14 pro-environmental and sustainability-related behaviors, including those that take place on and off-campus. Students were asked how often they engaged in these behaviors on a 1 – 5 “never” to “always” scale. Some of the behaviors were adapted from Brick et.al. (2017), and others were based on past surveys.

Similar to 2020 and 2021, we find that in 2022, some behaviors are quite common among undergraduate students at Ohio State (Figures 3.1 and 3.2). For example, **more than half of students say they “often” or “always” carry a reusable water bottle ($M = 4.58$, $SD = 0.79$), turn off the lights in an empty room ($M = 4.41$; $SD = 0.75$), or print on both sides of the paper ($M = 3.61$, $SD = 1.13$).** The walk, bicycle, or take public transportation instead of using a car behavior saw a downward trend in frequency between 2019 and 2020 with the mean dropping from 3.90 to 3.47. The COVID-19 pandemic may have contributed to this decrease, specifically regarding public transportation, as the average for this behavior trended towards more frequent again in 2021 with students widely returning to campus ($M = 3.72$, $SD = 0.95$) and remained consistent in 2022 ($M = 3.75$, $SD = 0.90$).

Meanwhile, behaviors such as purchasing second-hand items instead of purchasing new items ($M = 3.21$, $SD = 0.90$), using reusable bags when shopping ($M = 3.12$, $SD = 1.19$) and limiting consumption of new items (e.g. electronics, clothes) ($M = 3.25$, $SD = 0.96$) were less frequent. One observation from these patterns is that, understandably, **easier behaviors performed on campus are more common than more effortful ones.** In addition, several behaviors that are adopted less frequently by students are ones over which they may have limited control, such as eating organic food on campus ($M = 2.85$, $SD = 0.91$). However, there remain several **low-cost and relatively easy behaviors that may merit further emphasis to promote campus sustainability goals, particularly around reducing consumption of new items and purchasing second-hand items instead of new.**

Figure 3.1. Sustainable behaviors: “Below is a list of behaviors you may or may not do. Please indicate how often you do these behaviors.” (N = 2397 to 2403)





Figure 3.2. Sustainable behaviors: “Below is a list of behaviors you may or may not do. Please indicate how often you do these behaviors.” (N = 2397 to 2403)




Section 2: Attitudes Towards Campus Initiatives

In addition to the longitudinal scales, the survey also included items of interest to our collaborators in Student Life, the Sustainability Institute, and Facilities Operations and Development (FOD) to gauge support for current or possible sustainability initiatives at Ohio State. These items were largely self-generated, and we will review them section-by-section in the following pages.


To begin, students were asked: “When deciding to come to Ohio State, were you influenced by the university's sustainability programming?” See graph below for a breakdown of responses on a 1 – 5 scale of “not at all” to “a great deal”. **Response scores to this question were again generally quite low**, with an average of 1.68 (SD = 0.92, N = 1052, Figure 4). **This remains an area for improvement: as Ohio State continues to build on its reputation for being a sustainable and innovative campus, these responses may become higher in the future.**

Figure 4. Influence of sustainability programming on student choice to attend OSU in 2018, 2019, 2020, 2021, and 2022.




Then, students were asked about their knowledge of various sustainability initiatives on campus (e.g., Low Emission or Fuel-Efficient Vehicle Parking, Zero-Waste/recycling) on a 1 – 5 scale of “not at all” to “a great deal”. Students averaged between a little and a moderate amount of knowledge, with an average of 2.28, just below the midpoint (SD = 0.85, N = 1054; Figure 5).

Figure 5. Self-assessed student knowledge of sustainability initiatives on campus.



Students were also asked how they would allocate resources to various sustainability initiatives on campus, divided among different project areas so that the total equals 100% (N = 1043-1063; Figure 6). **Overall, students prioritized recycling (M = 16.1%, SD = 13.1), closely followed by low/zero carbon transportation (M = 14.7%, SD = 10.0), and increasing trees, green spaces, and river access (M = 13.1%, SD = 10.6).**


Figure 6. Allocation of resources to sustainability initiatives on campus



In partnership with Student Life, we designed a set of questions to assess views on composting, and composting bin use in AU22. Among respondents, 86.3% indicated that they agreed or strongly agreed that composting food scraps is good for the environment and worth the effort (5-point response scale ranging 1= “strongly disagree” to 5= “strongly agree”). **However, 66.2% of students were not aware that students living on and off campus can sign-up to participate in composting and receive a free bin** (based on a yes/no question). All respondents were asked their reasoning behind their decision not to participate in the program. While the overwhelming response was that they were not aware of the program sign up (27.7%), the next most popular reason was concern about odors/cleanliness/insects (6.8%). Surprisingly, “transporting my food waste to a centralized collection site/drop-off seems like too much work” and “my roommates/suitemates were not supportive” were the least popular answers (5.7% and 1.9%, respectively). This **highlights an opportunity for improved communication and messaging around the student composting program.**


In coordination with the Sustainability Institute, we asked the following question: “When deciding whether or not to move to a city after college, to what degree would a city’s sustainability initiatives and programming influence your decision?” Responses ranged from “Not at all” to “A great deal” (Figure 7.1), and averaged $M = 2.57$, $SD = 0.99$ ($N = 1043$).

Figure 7.1. Influence of sustainability initiatives and programming on choice of city after college.



Finally, students were asked, “How much knowledge would you say you have regarding various sustainability initiatives within Columbus, OH (e.g., Climate Action Plan, Sustainable Columbus, Greenspot)?” Responses ranged from “Not at all” to “A great deal” (Figure 7.2), and averaged $M = 1.80$, $SD = 0.83$ ($N = 1044$).

Figure 7.2. Knowledge of sustainability initiatives and programming in Columbus, OH.




Section 3: Sustainability Curriculum Development

The survey also included items designed to inform sustainability curriculum development at Ohio State. These items included having students rate their interest in different kinds of sustainability courses and their current and desired involvement in sustainability-related learning opportunities. These items were largely self-generated, and we will review them section-by-section in the next pages.


To begin, students were asked: “How many courses have you taken with a focus on sustainability at OSU?” (N = 2,077; Figure 8). Similar to 2021, the most common response to this question in 2022 was “None” (64%; 2021 = 62.6%) or “One or two” courses (27.4%; 2021 = 28.3%).

Figure 8. Number of courses taken with a focus on sustainability at OSU.




Students were then asked to rate their agreement with six different items related to sustainability education on a 1 – 5 “strongly disagree” to “strongly agree” scale (N = 1072-1075). See Figure 9 for average scores per item. Some notable trends in this data are how highly students agree that sustainability-related knowledge and skills are valued by potential employers, as well as their awareness of sustainability-related opportunities on campus. However, they generally do not actively seek sustainability-related courses to enroll in. There was a general bump in agreement across items from 2018 to 2019, with agreement staying relatively flat from 2019-2021. The data suggest there is a **slight increase in agreement over the past year**.

Figure 9. Agreement with statements about beliefs and actions related to sustainability education.




Next, students were asked to rate their interest with four different kinds of sustainability courses or content at Ohio State on a 1 – 5 “not at all interested” to “extremely interested” scale (N = 172—1072). **There seems to be a small decrease in the amount of interest for general education courses and continuing increase in major-based courses overall**, with a small trend of increasing interest in sustainability courses for minor-based courses since 2018.

Figure 10. Average student interest in sustainability courses at OSU



Additionally, students indicated their agreement with four items related to their amount of current and desired involvement in the academic (i.e. through taking sustainability courses and pursuing sustainability-related research opportunities) and professional sides of sustainability (i.e. pursuing sustainability-related internships, volunteer opportunities, and student organization involvement or leadership) on a 7-point scale of “strongly disagree” to “strongly agree” (N = 1035—1038). See Figure 11 for average scores per item.


Figure 11. Current and desired involvement in academic and professional aspects of sustainability.



Overall, students seem to consistently agree with the statement that they would like to become more involved in both the professional and personal sides of sustainability. Meanwhile, as was also observed in 2020, 2021 and 2022, **students are still generally not involved in academic or personally-related sustainability opportunities**, pointing to a potential gap and opportunity area.


Students were also asked to choose three topics they would like to learn more about through taking sustainability-related courses at Ohio State (N = 1033). As seen in Figure 12, climate change, health & wellbeing, and clean & renewable energy are still the most popular topics overall, and trends in 2022 largely followed 2020 and 2021, with a small decrease in clean & renewable energy. **However, there is an upward trend in most other topics, particularly in food systems & security and sustainable cities and communities.**

Figure 12. Percent of students that chose each preferred topic in sustainability related coursework.



Lastly, students were asked about sustainability-related skills they would be most interested in gaining by the time they graduate as part of their professional development (N = 1021; Figure 13). They could choose up to three topics or indicate they weren't interested in any of the topics. The majority of students remained interested in global literacy, environmental justice and fluency in a 2nd language, with all roughly even in their selection in 2022. **Sustainability management for a business or organization continues to trend upward.**

Figure 13. Percent of students that chose each preferred sustainability-related skill for professional development.



Section 4: Sustainability Knowledge Assessment

We assessed student knowledge of sustainability topics and issues using the 12-item “ASK” (Assessment of Sustainability Knowledge) scale developed by Zwickle and Jones (2018), which measures knowledge related to ecological, economic, and social aspects of sustainability. Students were asked to answer multiple-choice quiz questions to the best of their ability. (Note that we did not include a “do not know” option, so scores may be slightly inflated due to additional guessing. Questions that students viewed but skipped were still counted as ‘incorrect’, however).

Overall, **student knowledge of sustainability and environmentally-related topics was high**, with an average of 8.5 items correct out of 12 (SD = 2.11), or 71% correct. With a couple of exceptions, the percent of students answering correctly has stayed largely the same across questions since 2018. Students remained correct on more technical questions such as the biggest global emitter of greenhouse gases (91.9% correct) and describing changes in the wealth gap in America (94.8% correct; Tables 3.1, 3.2). **We also see a continuing trend upward in understanding why economists argue that electricity prices in the U.S. are too low, with a higher percentage of students correctly answering question 7.** However, one major misconception worsened among students, particularly in relation to causes of pollution. In 2022, 37.8% of students incorrectly answered that waste dumped by factories is the main cause of pollution of streams and rivers (in 2018 the percent answering incorrectly was 24.1%). In addition, for question 2, regarding the purpose of ozone, the number of students decreased in answering correctly from 90.6% in 2021 to 83.1% in 2022. This and other lower-score items could point to potential gaps in student understanding where education or interventions could be targeted in the future.

It is also possible to estimate changes in sustainability knowledge by comparing answers between the 2014 and 2020 versions of this survey. The average student score in 2014 was 6.93 out of 12 items (SD = 3.05), or 58% correct. Taking into consideration some scale changes, this result suggests that **students in 2022 are, on average, getting the correct answer on 1.5 more questions than students in 2014.**

Table 3.1. Responses to ASK items in 2018, 2019, 2020, 2021, and 2022.

ASK Question	Correct Answer	% Correct (2022) N = 2403	% Correct (2021) N = 1959	% Correct (2020) N = 2478	% Correct (2019) N = 3276	% Correct (2018) N = 2872
	Most Common Incorrect Answer (2022)	% Incorrect (2022)	% Incorrect (2021)	% Incorrect (2020)	% Incorrect (2019)	% Incorrect (2018)
1) What is the most common cause of pollution of streams and rivers?	"Surface water running off yards, city streets, paved lots, and farm fields"	43.7%	42.6%	41.1%	44.4%	50.8%
	"Waste dumped by factories"	37.8%	36.6%	39.0%	36.3%	24.1%
2) Ozone forms a protective layer in the earth's upper atmosphere. What does ozone protect us from?	"Harmful UV rays"	83.1%	90.6%	88.6%	90.5%	87.3%
	"Climate change"	4.3%	4.4%	5.2%	4.2%	5.4%
3) Which of the following is an example of sustainable forest management?	"Never harvesting more than what the forest produces in new growth"	77.3%	78.5%	77.2%	78.0%	76.1%
	"Setting aside forests to be off limits to the public"	15.7%	14.8%	15.3%	16.5%	15.1%
4) Of the following, which would be considered living in the most environmentally sustainable way?	"Reducing consumption of all products"	71.2%	68.7%	68.3%	70.9%	65.6%
	"Recycling all recyclable packaging"	19.8%	21.2%	20.1%	19.2%	20.8%
5) Which of the following is the most commonly used definition of sustainable development?	"Meeting the needs of the present without compromising the ability of future generations to meet their own needs"	84.3%	81.6%	81.3%	84.5%	80.7%
	"Creating a government welfare system that ensures universal access to education, health care, and social services" ¹	8.1%	7.6%	--%	--%	--%
6) Over the past 3 decades, what has happened to the difference between the wealth of the richest and poorest Americans?	"The difference has increased"	94.8%	92.8%	90.6%	89.5%	85.0%
	"The difference has stayed about the same"	3.7%	4.6%	5.3%	7.5%	9.9%

Table 3.2. Responses to ASK items in 2018, 2019, 2020, 2021, and 2022.

7) Many economists argue that electricity prices in the U.S. are too low because	"They do not reflect the costs of pollution from generating the electricity"	71.4%	68.0%	69.3%	69.9%	68.1%
	"Electric companies have a monopoly in their service area"	20.3%	22.3%	20.4%	21.3%	19.9%
8) Which of the following is the most commonly used definition of economic sustainability?	"Long term profitability"	58.8%	57.3%	58.9%	57.3%	56.6%
	"When costs equal revenue"	27.7%	28.1%	26.9%	28.2%	26.9%
9) Which of the following countries passed the U.S. to become the largest emitter of the greenhouse gas carbon dioxide?	"China"	91.9%	89.7%	88.7%	89.8%	86.5%
	"Japan"	3.6%	4.0%	4.2%	3.9%	5.2%
10) Which of the following is a leading cause of the depletion of fish stocks in the Atlantic Ocean?	"Fishermen seeking to maximize their catch"	30.8%	33.5%	31.2%	31.3%	29.0%
	"Ocean pollution"	38.9%	40.0%	42.6%	43.1%	45.1%
11) Which of the following is the best example of environmental justice?	"All stakeholders from an indigenous community are involved in setting a quota for the amount of wood they can take from a protected forest next to their village"	84.1%	82.2%	81.8%	81.7%	75.2%
	"The government dams a river, flooding Native American tribal lands to create hydro-power for large cities" ²	5.7%	8.0%	6.5%	7.5%	9.6%
12) Put the following list in order of the activities with the largest environmental impact to those with the smallest environmental impact:	"Flying in a commercial airplane from Washington D.C. to China" > "Producing one McDonald's quarter-pound hamburger" > "Producing one McDonald's chicken sandwich" > "Keeping a cell phone charger plugged into an electrical outlet for 12 hours"	55.4%	57.0%	58.1%	57.7%	45.2%
	"Flying in a commercial airplane from Washington D.C. to China" > "Keeping a cell phone charger plugged into an electrical outlet for 12 hours" > "Producing one McDonald's quarter-pound hamburger" > "Producing one McDonald's chicken sandwich"	31.5%	28.3%	26.0%	26.9%	33.0%

¹The incorrect answer for question 5 changed from "Setting aside resources to be used for preservation, never to be used," in 2018-2020, to, "Creating a government welfare system that ensures universal access to education, health care, and social services," in 2021.

²The incorrect answer for question 11 changed from "The government dams a river, flooding Native American tribal lands to create hydro-power for large cities," in 2018-2021, to, "Urban citizens win a bill to have toxic wastes taken to rural communities" in 2022.

Section 5: Next Steps and Acknowledgements

Next steps: Currently plans are in place to repeat the campus sustainability survey in 2023. We plan to again replicate longitudinal items with a panel sample of Undergraduate students and adapt new sections for Faculty research and campus partner objectives.

The Environmental and Social Sustainability Lab continues to work with diverse campus partners to inform progress towards sustainability goals, and assess the results of related efforts on campus. Our goal is that this survey will continue to provide high-quality social scientific data of use to both academic researchers and the broader campus sustainability community for years to come.

Acknowledgements: We would like to thank the Sustainability Institute and the Office of Student Life for helping to fund this initiative through staff resources and survey incentives. We would also like to thank these and our other campus partners in Facilities Operations and Development for their consultation and participation in this survey effort.

Contact us: If you are interested in becoming involved in this effort at Ohio State, or are interested in using our data for educational or research purposes please contact us at ESSL@osu.edu. A report on these findings can be found on our website: <https://ess.osu.edu/campus-sustainability-survey/reports>.

References

- Brick, C., Sherman, D. K., & Kim, H. S. (2017). "Green to be seen" and "brown to keep down": Visibility moderates the effect of identity on pro-environmental behavior. *Journal of Environmental Psychology*, 51, 226–238. <http://doi.org/10.1016/j.jenvp.2017.04.004>
- De Groot, J. I., & Steg, L. (2008). Value orientations to explain beliefs related to environmental significant behavior: How to measure egoistic, altruistic, and biospheric value orientations. *Environment and Behavior*, 40(3), 330-354.
- Jones, M. D. (2011). Leading the Way to Compromise? Cultural Theory and Climate Change Opinion. *PS: Political Science & Politics*, 44(04), 720–725. <https://doi.org/10.1017/S104909651100134X>
- The Sustainability Tracking, Assessment & Rating System (STARS): <https://stars.aashe.org/>
- Williams, D. R., & Vaske, J. J. (2003). The measurement of place attachment: Validity and generalizability of a psychometric approach. *Forest science*, 49(6), 830-840.
- Zwickle, A., & Jones, K. (2018). Sustainability Knowledge and Attitudes—Assessing Latent Constructs. In *Handbook of Sustainability and Social Science Research* (pp. 435-451). Springer, Cham.



THE OHIO STATE UNIVERSITY

COLLEGE OF FOOD, AGRICULTURAL,
AND ENVIRONMENTAL SCIENCES

Environmental and Social Sustainability (ESS) Lab
School of Environment and Natural Resources
210 Kottman Hall
2021 Coffey Road
Columbus, OH 43210

Phone: 614.247-6128

Email: essl@osu.edu

CFAES provides research and related educational programs to clientele on a nondiscriminatory basis.
For more information: <http://go.osu.edu/cfaesdiversity>.